Diagnosis and treatment of AL amyloidosis: Belgian guidelines
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SUMMARY
Immunoglobulin light chain (AL) amyloidosis is a rare and serious disease due to the deposition of amyloid fibrils. In the past years, improvements have been made in the diagnosis, treatment and response criteria. Based on an extensive review of the recent literature on AL amyloidosis, we propose practical recommendations that can be used by Belgian haematologists as a reference for daily practice. Management of other types of amyloidosis will not be covered by this review. Levels of evidence and grades of recommendations are based on previously published methods.¹ We recommend participation in clinical trials to gain knowledge in this evolving field.

(BELG J HEMATOL 2020;11(8):343-56)

INTRODUCTION
Systemic amyloidosis is a group of rare disorders caused by the deposition of misfolded proteins within virtually every organ, compromising their function. A large amount of different involved proteins has been described and identification of these proteins is a first step in the diagnosis process as the treatments of the diverse entities are completely different.

AL amyloidosis is the most common type of systemic amyloidosis with a reported incidence of about 1:100,000.²,³ It is a life-threatening condition caused by the deposition, but also the local toxicity, of misfolded monoclonal light chains (FLC) produced by a small plasma cell clone or, rarely, by another B-lymphoproliferative clone. Its prognosis depends on the nature of the involved organs but also on the disease extension at diagnosis.⁴ Despite improvements in the treatment of the disease, patients with advance organ damage still present a short survival. Therefore, early recognition of the symptoms and establishment of the diagnosis are crucial.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION
Clinical symptoms of AL amyloidosis are confounding and rarely specific, resulting in a significant delay in diagnosis.⁵,⁶ Retrospective studies reported that the median time from first clinical signs to diagnostic is 1.2 years and that about a quarter of the patients had to see four or more specialists before the diagnosis.⁶ Fatigue, weight loss, weakness, lower limbs oedema and dyspnoea are the most frequently described symptoms while pathognomonic macro glossia and periorbital ecchymosis (raoon eyes) are only seen in 15% of the cases (Table 1).⁷ Every organ except the brain could be injured, but the heart and the kidneys are predominant sites of fibrils deposition. Indeed, dysfunction of these organs is observed in 75% and 57% of the cases respectively.⁸ Involvement of the nerves, leading to autonomic dysfunction and/or symmetric sensory-motor neuropathy, is not uncommonly seen (22%) as is the liver (20%) and the gastrointestinal tract as well (15%). Soft tissue involvement, encountered in 15% of the patients, is highly specific of AL amyloidosis. Organs that may be...
affected include muscles, lungs, and joints. Amyloidosis can also be associated with bleeding disorders in relation to organ involvement, fragility of blood vessels and, in a small percentage of patients, a factor X deficiency.

**DIAGNOSIS**

As delayed diagnosis is associated with irreversible organ damage and as a direct consequence, a worse prognosis. Hence, all efforts must be made to an early diagnosis of AL amyloidosis. Therefore, an annual evaluation of albuminuria and dosage of NT pro BNP is recommended by experts for all patients presenting a monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) or a smoldering multiple myeloma (SMM) with abnormal LC ratio (LCR). A careful anamnesis and clinical examination should also be done, focusing on symptoms and clinical signs of AL amyloidosis (Table 1). It must be suspected in case of unexplained albuminuria, nephrotic syndrome, diastolic dysfunction associated with microvolt electrocardiogram, autonomic dysfunction, unexplained symmetric sensory-motor neuropathy and, surely if characteristic soft tissue involvements are observed.

Confirmation of the diagnosis requires several steps: 1) Confirming the presence of amyloid deposits; 2) Identifying the amyloid fibril subtype; 3) Evaluate the plasma cell clone; and 4) Evaluate the extent and severity of organ involvement (Table 2).

**DIAGNOSIS CONFIRMATION**

The diagnosis requires the demonstration of amyloid deposits in a tissue biopsy. Therefore, it is crucial to perform a tissue biopsy when one suspects AL amyloidosis. Of course, performing a biopsy of an involved organ offers the best chance to demonstrate the presence of amyloid fibrils and clearly constitutes the most sensitive method. Because of the bleeding risk due to vessels fragility and potential coagulation perturbations, such a biopsy is only indicated when other approaches could not confirm the diagnosis. A biopsy of the abdominal sub-cutaneous fat (instructive video on www.amyloid.nl) is non-invasive, much less expensive and allows to detect AL amyloid deposits in 84% of the cases and up to 90% if combined with bone marrow biopsy. If that method fails to show fibrils, a biopsy of the accessory salivary glands can show the amyloid fibrils in more than 50% of the remaining cases. Typically, the amyloid fibrils deposition is confirmed by the Congo red staining that allows to show apple-green birefringence of fibrils under polarized light. But once systemic amyloidosis is proved, identifying the type of fibrils is decisive. Indeed, an existing concomitant MGUS is clearly insufficient to make a diagnosis of AL amyloidosis, as 23% of the patients suffering of transthyretin amyloidosis (ATTR) present with a MGUS as well. To precise the fibrils type, immunohistochemistry is inexpensive and broadly available but should be performed in specialised centres.

### TABLE 1. Symptoms and signs of AL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ involvement</th>
<th>Symptoms and signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-specific</td>
<td>Asthenia, weight lost, dyspnoea, oedema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>Palpitation, syncope, dyspnoea, orthopnoea, peripheral oedema, jugular venous distention, hepatomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Peripheral oedema, anasarca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve</td>
<td>Peripheral paraesthesia, dysesthesia and hypoesthesia, orthostatic hypotension, disturbances in sexual functioning and bladder function, modification of bowel movements (diarrhea or constipation), early satiety, bilateral carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissues</td>
<td>Periorbital purpura, macroglossia, shoulder pad, soft-tissue masses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-intestinal</td>
<td>Altered motility, bleeding, malabsorption, diarrhoea, early satiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: liver, spleen, lung, nail, coagulation (factor X)</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, dyspnoea, dry cough, dystrophy of the nails, bleeding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Conversely, immunoelectron microscopy with gold-labelled antibodies has been reported to be a sensitive and very specific technique but is not available in the majority of the centres. Nowadays, mass spectrometry of amyloid deposits is considered to be the gold standard for amyloid typing. In some rare cases, gene sequencing may be required to confirm hereditary amyloidosis.

In order to spare cardiac biopsy in elderly men suspected of senile amyloidosis (ATTRwt amyloidosis), it might be adequate to perform a cardiac scintigraphy using bone tracers (99Tc-pyrophosphate) to confirm the diagnosis. Actually, in the absence of any MGUS, if a grade 2 or 3 myocardial radiotracer uptake is observed, a specificity and a positive predictive value of 100% could be reached to identify an ATTR amyloidosis. Nevertheless, one must bear in mind that about 10% of AL amyloidosis have a positive bone scintigraphy.

**PLASMA CELL CLONE EVALUATION**

After confirmation of an AL Amyloidosis disease, an evaluation of the plasma clone should be performed in order to define the underlying disease. This assessment requires serum and urine protein electrophoresis, serum and urine immunofixation, dosage of serum FLC, immunoglobulins quantification, bone marrow (BM) biopsy and aspiration with Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH) analysis. Typically, BM demonstrates a very low level of plasma cell infiltration (less than 5%). However, some cases can be diagnosed at a myeloma stage (>10%) and present a worse prognosis. The cytogenetic evaluation is relevant as it has therapeutic implications. Indeed, the landscape of genetic abnormalities differs compared to multiple myeloma (MM). Hyperdiploidy and high-risk MM features are less frequent in AL amyloidosis while translocation t(11;14) is the most common feature, reported in up to 60% of the cases and, surprisingly, associated with a poor prognosis related to poor response to bortezomib and immunomodulatory therapy. Deletion of 1q21 has been reported as an independent adverse prognostic factor in patients treated with melphalan-dexamethasone. Even if cytogenetic assessment should be done at diagnosis regarding its clinical implications, the typical low plasma cell infiltration leads frequently to technical issues and inconclusive results.

### EVALUATION OF THE EXTENT AND SEVERITY OF ORGAN INVOLVEMENT

Complete evaluation should be performed (Table 2) to define which and how many organs are involved but also determine the prognosis. Cardiac evaluation must include biological markers cardiac troponin T (cTnT) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP), EKG (showing typical microvoltages), echocardiography with Doppler and strain imaging, and cardiac MRI. This last exam is highly specific of cardiac involvement and gives information on left ventricular size, ejection fraction, wall thickness, mass atrial size and function and pericardial effusion. Arrhythmia should be evaluated by EKG and/or Holter monitoring as well.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Disease assessment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plasma cell clone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Serum + urine electrophoresis and IE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Serum FLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BM evaluation: plasma cell infiltration, flow cytometry, FISH analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of symptomatic MM or IgM homeopathy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Imaging to assess bone lesions or adeno-organomegaly (IgM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exclusion of myeloma defining events (serum creatinine, calcium, blood count, BM-PC, FLC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment of organ involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Heart: NT-proBNP or BNP; troponin (TnI, cTnT or hsTNT), EKG, echocardiography, as clinically indicated; 24H Holter monitoring, cardiac MRI, bone scintigraphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Kidney: serum creatinine, 24h urine collection for proteinuria, albuminuria, immunofixation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Liver: function tests (LAP), echography to assess liver size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• GI tract: endoscopy, particularly in case of bleeding, with random biopsies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Neuropathy: clinical assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Respiratory tract: CT scanner in case of haemoptysis, cough and dyspnoea, respiratory function test, bronchoscopy with biopsy if necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coagulation tests: APTT, PT/INR, factor X (to exclude a factor X deficiency)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PRACTICE GUIDELINES
Evaluation of kidney involvement must include 24-hour urine analysis with protein, albumin and ions level of excretion and creatinine clearance. Serum ions should be evaluated as well. Cholesterol level should be measured in case of nephrotic syndrome. Abdominal ultrasound could be useful to investigate the liver and spleen. Upper and lower endoscopy may be required in case of GI bleeding, modification of bowel movements habits or significant weight loss. Coagulation tests and dosage of factor X are part of the baseline disease evaluation. Finally, in order to rule out multiple myeloma (MM), low voltage total body CT scans, FDG Pet-CT or whole-body MRI should be performed.

**PROGNOSTIC FACTORS**

Historically, various clinical and biochemical factors have been associated with poor outcomes in AL amyloidosis (Table 3). Prognosis depends on host factors such as age, performance status and co-morbidities but also on the extension and severity of organ involvement and hematologic response to therapy. While kidney involvement does not have a major effect on survival, it limits quality of life and access to effective therapies. Cardiac involvement has the greatest impact on survival, therefore, all patients, even without any symptoms, should be assessed for cardiac risk.

Different staging system are listed in Table 4. The Mayo staging system is a simple system that has been extensively validated and is based on cardiac biomarkers troponins T and NT-pro-BNP, two powerful predictors of survival. BNP can also be used if NT-proBNP dosage is not available. This system classifies patients in 3 subgroups: low risk, intermediate or high-risk.

The European staging system identifies, among stage III patients, a subgroup of patients with very high values of NT-proBNP (>8500 ng/l or BNP >800 ng/l) with a very advanced cardiac disease and a very high-risk for early death after diagnosis (median OS <5 months). The burden of the plasma cell clone also affects long-term survival and the difference between involved (amyloidogenic) and uninvolved FLCs (dFLC) is a prognostic factor as well. Patients with a low dFLC have distinct clinical features dominated by renal involvement, with an excellent outcome. The Revised Mayo staging system combined the cardiac biomarkers with dFLC and defines 4 prognostic subgroups when attributing one point to each parameter (Table 4).

### TABLE 3: Definition of organ involvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kidney (65%)</td>
<td>24-hr urine protein &gt;0.5 g/day mainly albumin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart (74%)</td>
<td>Echo: mean wall thickness &gt; 12 mm, no other cardiac cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomarkers: elevated NT-pro-BNP (&gt;332 ng/l) in the absence of renal failure or atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver (17%)</td>
<td>Total liver span &gt; 15 cm in the absence of heart failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Or alkaline phosphatase &gt; 1.5 times institutional upper limit of normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve (15% and 14%, respectively)</td>
<td>Peripheral: clinical, symmetric lower extremity sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomic: postural hypotension, erectile dysfunction, gastric-emptying disorder, pseudo-obstruction, voiding dysfunction not related to direct organ infiltration, no other cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastro-intestinal tract (15%)</td>
<td>Direct biopsy verification with symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue (17% pts)</td>
<td>Tongue enlargement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carpal tunnel syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arthropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Claudication (related to vascular deposits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myopathy by biopsy or pseudohypertrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lymph node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Direct biopsy verification with symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interstitial radiography pattern in the absence of pulmonary oedema</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Renal prognosis – The risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) that can be evaluated by two different staging systems, based on the combination of proteinuria and eGFR (Table 4).22,29

**TREATMENT**

Treatment has to be initiated as soon as possible and must target the plasma cell clone. Even low level of circulating FLC could be responsible of progressive organ damages. Hence, the aim of the treatment is a fast and deep hematological response. Different studies have emphasized the importance of early assessment of treatment efficacy and rapid shift to alternative therapies in non-good responders.30,31 A recent study evaluating the impact of timing and depth of hematological response in patients receiving bortezomib-based treatment reported the importance of a very rapid and deep response.31 In this report, 227 untreated patients were evaluated after one and three months of treatment. The presence of a VGPR at one month was associated with a high organ response rate and the survival rate was equally significantly better. However, the benefit was mainly driven by patients achieving an involved FLC (iFLC) <20 mg/L. Moreover, the authors reported that only a minority of the patients improve their hematological response at three months. Those results emphasise the importance to obtain extremely rapid deep response, especially in advanced stages.

Treatment choice should be driven by several factors: age, performance status, organ involvement, stage of the disease and cytogenetics (Figure 1). The most frequent chromosomal abnormality in AL amyloidosis found in 50-60% of the patients is t(11-14) which confer poor outcome with bortezomib treatment. Melphalan-based regimens should then be preferred in these patients.19 Conversely, melphalan is associated with a poorer outcome in patients with gain 1q21 where a bortezomib-based treatment should be proposed.20 In patients with renal failure, cyclophosphamide is preferred. Adjusted-dose of melphalan could be another option. Bortezomib should be used with close monitoring, especially in subjects with autonomic neuropathy and symptomatic hypotension. In patients with stage IIIb disease, treatment should be initiated within reference centres with a well-trained team, under close medical supervision or at the Intensive Care Unit. Reduced dexamethasone and bortezomib should be used initially and progressively majored in case of good tolerance.32

---

**TABLE 4. Staging systems in AL.**41

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>HR for OS</th>
<th>Median OS, months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayo Clinic, 2004</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One higher stage each for elevated troponin (cTNT &gt; 0.035 µg/L) and elevated NT-proBNP (&gt; 332 ng/L)³</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayo Clinic, 2012</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One higher stage each for elevated troponin (cTNT &gt; 0.025 µg/L), elevated NT-proBNP (≥ 1800 ng/L) and elevated dFLC (dFLC ≥ 180 mg/dl)²</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European modification</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derived from Mayo 2004: stage I-II correspond, stage III separated by additional NT-proBNP &gt; 8.500 ng/L</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal⁵⁰</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0%-3% risk for dialysis at 2 y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR &lt; 50 mL/min per 1.73 m²</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>11%-25% risk for dialysis at 2 y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteinuria &gt; 5 g/24h</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>60%-75% risk for dialysis at 2 y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More than 50 non-randomised trials have confirmed the efficacy of high dose melphalan (HDM, 200 mg/m²) followed by ASCT, with organ response rates up to 65% in a proportion of eligible patients. However, this intensive therapy can only be offered to 15-25% of patients with AL amyloidosis. A phase III randomised trial published in 2007 comparing oral melphalan-dexamethasone (M-DEX) to HDM-ASCT reported a worse survival in the group of patients treated with high dose chemotherapy. Those results were linked to a very high transplanted related mortality (TRM) including 15% of deaths during stem cell mobilisation and collection. With improvement of supportive care and better selection of subjects over time, more recent studies reported a decrease of TRM (2.4%) and a significant improvement of median overall survival over 10 years. This highlights the crucial role of patient selection and the experience of the transplantation center Candidates to HDM-ASCT must be selected regarding their performance status, organs function (cardiac, renal, pulmonary, hepatic), baseline systolic blood pressure and cardiac biomarkers. There may be some small differences in criteria for ASCT eligibility between centres, the BHS recommendations are listed in Table 2.

Patients with a BM infiltration over 10% have an inferior complete remission (CR) rate, progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). It has been reported that induction therapy before ASCT improves outcomes (CR and OS) among AL patients who have greater than 10% BMPC probably due to a rapid reduction of monoclonal light chains and a better selection of patients fit enough to receive high-dose melphalan (HDM). For patients with BMPC > 10% % or presenting at least one of the symptomatic MM criteria, an induction with cyclophosphamide-bortezomib-dexamethasone (CyBorDex) should be given for two cycles or four in patients with MM criteria. A melphalan dose reduction has be proposed for frail patients, in order to reduce toxicity, but is associated with a reduced survival.

**FIGURE 1.** Treatment of newly diagnosed AL amyloidosis.

ASCT: autologous stem cells transplantation, BM-PC: bone marrow plasma cells, CyBorDex: cyclophosphamide+bortezomib+dexamethasone, M Dex: melphalan+dexamethasone, BMDex: bortezomib+melphalan+dexamethasone, HDM: high dose melphalan.
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### FRONTLINE TREATMENT

#### AUTOLOGOUS STEM CELL TRANSPLANTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELIGIBLE FOR ASCT</th>
<th>NO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM-PC &gt; 10%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVALUATION: at least PR at 1 cycle and at least VRGP at 3 cycles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If VGPR / haematological or organ progression (even in VGPR) -&gt; second line therapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• If VGPR / CR =&gt; Close surveillance of haematological or organ response / 3 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Stage I to Illa

- CyBorDex or BMDex
- BMDex in patients with t(11,14) or gain 1p36
- MDEX if:
  - contraindication to Bortezomib: symptomatic neuropathy, fibrotic lung disease
  - Stage I and BM-PC< 10% and preserved renal function

#### Stage IIII

- Low dose CyBorDex or BMDex / MDEX if CI to bortezomib
- Consider hospitalisation for monitoring

---

**FIGURE 1.** Treatment of newly diagnosed AL amyloidosis.

ASCT: autologous stem cells transplantation, BM-PC: bone marrow plasma cells, CyBorDex: cyclophosphamide+bortezomib+dexamethasone, M Dex: melphalan+dexamethasone, BMDex: bortezomib+melphalan+dexamethasone, HDM: high dose melphalan.
CONVENTIONAL CHEMOTHERAPY

While M-DEX was until recently considered as one of the standard of treatment for patients with AL amyloidosis, the introduction of novel agents-based therapies has changed the game. Bortezomib is today a backbone in frontline therapy in AL amyloidosis. Two initial small studies, investigating the role of bortezomib, reported a hematological overall response rate (ORR) of 81-94% with about 50% of VGPR, results that were later confirmed on larger studies. In the European retrospective study, the hematological ORR was 65% with 43-49% of VGPR or better. However, patients with advanced cardiac stage (NT-pro-BNP >8500 ng/L) have still low response rates (42%, ≥ VGPR 23%) and poor survival (median, 7 months) mostly due to poor tolerability. In a large prospective observational study (n= 819), bortezomib-based treatment was associated with a prolonged OS of seven years and the best outcome were reached in patients with stringent dFLC responses, emphasising again the importance of achieving a deep response. A recent phase III randomised trial comparing M-DEX to bortezomib-melphalan-dexamethasone (BM-DEX) reported a significant difference in terms of hematological response and OS. In that study, patients with severe cardiac disease (stage IIIB), relevant ventricular arrhythmias, syncope or profound hypotension were not eligible, and only 13% of the patients presented with a stage I disease. In the BM-DEX arm, the hematological ORR at 3 months was 79% versus 52% in the M-DEX arm (p= .002) and the number of patients reaching at least VGPR was also significantly higher in the BM-DEX arm (64% vs 39%). More importantly, a 2-fold decrease of mortality was observed (HR: 0.50) in the BM-DEX arm with a median OS not reached compared to 34 months in the M-DEX arm. This is the first randomised trial in AL amyloidosis demonstrating a significant impact in terms of hematological response rate, PFS and OS. Based on these results, M-DEX is no more a standard option; except for patients with contraindications to bortezomib or some selected patients with a stage I disease, a BM infiltration less than 10% and preserved renal function.

MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY

Daratumumab is an IgG1-kappa monoclonal antibody binding to CD38 antigen on the surface of plasma cells and is a major drug in the multiple myeloma treatment. After initial promising reports in heavily pre-treated AL amyloidosis patients, phase II trials and larger retrospective

RECOMMENDATIONS

If available, treatment of AL amyloidosis should be performed within the context of a clinical trial and in reference centres for advanced stages.

Treatment requires a multidisciplinary approach.

Considerations regarding initial therapy:

- **Bortezomib based regimens (BMDEX, CyBordDex) should be offered to all stage I-III patients if no contraindications (level 1A, grade B), particularly in patients with renal dysfunction (level 2B, grade C).**
- **In a selected population with stage I disease, BM-PC <10% and a normal kidney function, the M-DEX regimen is still an option (level 2B, grade C).**
- **Patients with t(11;14) should receive melphalan-based regimen (level 2A, grade B).**
- **HDM followed by ASCT should only be performed in highly selected patients with minimal cardiac involvement (cTnT < 0.06 mcg/l, cTnl <0.1 mcg/l or NT-proBNP < 5000 ng/l) and adequate renal function (GRF >50 ml/min) (level 2A, grade B).**
- **For patients with a BM infiltration over 10% or presenting at least one of the symptomatic MM criteria, an induction with cyclophosphamide-bortezomib-dexamethasone (CyborDex) should be given for 2 as the use of melphalan can significantly impact stem cell collection (level 2A, grade B).**
- **Dose-attenuated melphalan regimens are not recommended (level 2B, grade C).**

Stem cell mobilisation should be performed with GCSF alone and in reference centres (level 3, grade C).

During stem cell reinfusion, cardiac monitoring is advised in patients with cardiac involvement (level 3, grade C).

Treatment of IgM AL amyloidosis should be driven by cytogenetic. Subjects with no t(11;14) require lymphoma/Waldenström treatments and other treatments targeting the plasma cell clone.
studies reported outstanding results in relapsing refractory patients with ORR of 55-90% and up to 73% of patients reaching at least a VGPR. Moreover, all those reports showed a very rapid time to response of one month or less. In the light of these results, a phase III randomised trial (Andromeda study- Clinical Trials: NCT03201965) comparing CyBorD to subcutaneous daratumumab-CyborD (dara-CyBorD) in newly diagnosed AL amyloidosis was launched. After a follow-up of eleven months, the initial report showed impressive results with a rate of VGPR or better of 79% in the dara-CyBorD arm versus 49% in the control arm, and a CR rate of 53% in the dara-CyBorD arm compared to 18% in the control arm (odds ratio, 5.1; 95% CI, 3.2-8.2; p< 0.0001). The organ response rate was also significantly better in patients receiving daratumumab with a 6-month cardiac response rate of 42% for dara-CyBorD versus 22% for CyBorD (p= 0.0029) and a 6-month renal response rate of 54% versus 27%, (p< 0.0001). There was no significant difference in terms of toxicity between both groups. It is the first time that such results have been achieved in untreated AL systemic amyloidosis and this regimen will probably become the new standard of care for newly diagnosed AL amyloidosis.

Localised amyloidosis is a different entity, presenting deposits in bladder, larynx, the skin, eyelids, stomach, and colon or as solitary pulmonary nodules and can be treated by resection or with laser therapy. Unlike the systemic form, localised amyloidosis is associated with a very good prognosis, with a rare evolution to extensive disease. IgM related amyloidosis is a distinct subtype of amyloidosis. Presentation is different from non-IgM amyloidosis with more peripheral nerve and soft tissue involvements and less cardiac injury (56% vs. 73%, p< 0.002). Two subtypes of IgM amyloidosis have been characterized, one consisting of pure plasma cell diseases (23%) associated with the same high prevalence of t(11;14) as in non- IgM amyloidosis, with no MYD88 mutations. Those subjects should be treated as non-IgM AL amyloidosis. Another subtype consisting of lymphoplasmytic neoplasms associated with MYD88L265P and CXCR4 mutation who should be treated with lymphoma/Waldenström regimens.
by a median of 6 months in 85% of the subjects and, in this study, cardiac progression at the time of reinitiating a treatment was the only independent predictor of OS. Authors conclude that a high-risk dFLC progression should trigger the start of a rescue treatment. It has also been demonstrated that, in a small proportion of patients, organ progression or relapse could occur without hematological progression. When organ progression without modification of dFLC is suspected, it is essential to exclude another cause of organ deterioration before reinitiating therapy. Those results emphasise the possible toxicity of small amount of FLC.

**TREATMENT**

Treatment decision should be driven by previous treatment, previous and expected toxicities, performance status and patient fragilities, organ dysfunctions and also by FISH cytogenetics. Retreatment with the same regimen has been investigated in a large (n= 1327) retrospective study of the Mayo Clinic and was associated with a significantly reduced TTNT (22 m vs. 32.3 m, p = .01). However, it was not associated with a significant impact on OS (30.8 m vs. 51.1 m, p = 0.5). This option could be recommended in patients with contraindications to other drugs or who experienced a deep response and long interval before progression with the previous line of treatment.

**MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES**

In four large reports and two phase II studies, daratumumab was associated with a very rapid response (<1 month), a high level of hematological response (ORR 55-90% and VGPR or better 47-73%), cardiac (22-59%) and renal response (31-67%). Daratumumab was administrated in monotherapy for a fixed period of time (six months) or for 24 months treatment and more. Two retrospective studies reported patients treated in combination with bortezomib or lenalidomide with a rate of at least VGPR of 59-66%. No difference in overall hematologic response rates was seen between patients treated with daratumumab single agent and those who received daratumumab combinations (after sixteen infusions, 81% vs. 88%, p = .470). In addition, CR/VGPR rate was similar in patients treated with single agent daratumumab or combination regimens (59% vs. 60%, p = .488). Despite the distinct characteristics of the studied populations, the heterogeneity of the applied regimens and duration of treatment, all reported a rapid and high level of deep responses, results that were never reported in relapsed/refractory AL amyloidosis before. Considering those results, daratumumab should be offered to all patient not previously exposed to anti-CD38 therapy.

**PROTEASOME INHIBITORS**

Bortezomib should be offered to patients never exposed to the drug and who have no contraindications such as significant neuropathy or fibrotic lung disease. If the absence of other valid option, reduced bortezomib doses should be used with close monitoring, especially in subjects with autonomic neuropathy and symptomatic hypotension. Ixazomib is an interesting alternative as it is an oral proteasome inhibitor (PI) with less neurological side effects. After the initial promising results of a phase I-II study, the ixazomib–dexamethasone combination was compared to the physician choice in the Tourmaline–AL1 phase III trial. The primary end point, hematological ORR, was not met, but the ixazomib arm was associated with better results in terms of CR (26 vs. 17%), organ response rates (36 vs. 11%) and TTNT (27 vs. 13 months, p = 0.019). Preliminary results of treatment with Carfilzomib administrated at the dosage of 20/36 mg/m² in a phase I-II study reported interesting RR with 63% ORR and 46%VGPR or better. In this trial, the median NT-pro-BNP was 542 pg/ml and grade 3/4 adverse events occurred in 71% of the patients. More safety data are still needed, especially in regard of the well-known cardiotoxicity of this PI.

**IMMUNOMODULATORY DRUGS**

Treatments with lenalidomide or pomalidomide are effective in patients exposed to bortezomib, alkylating agent and thalidomide. Before the era of anti-CD38 drugs, immunomodulatory drugs (IMiDS) were frequently used as first option in relapsing patients. Prospective phase II study with lenalidomide showed an ORR of 38-61% with 41% a to 23% of organ responses. However, low level of deep hematological response is observed with 25-28% of VGPR or better and less than 10% of CR. This level might be increased with prolonged treatment. Physicians should be aware of the evaluation of cardiac responses in patients under IMiDs can be difficult as there could be associated with a possible increase of NT-proBNP in some cases without any worsening of cardiac function. The maximum dose tolerated of lenalidomide is lower than in MM and should not be higher than 15mg. Lenalidomide should be avoided in patients with a nephrotic syndrome as it could worsen the renal function. If no other option could be offered, a close monitoring of the renal function is then highly recommended. Pomalidomide-dexamethasone in the setting of relapse is an interesting option with a reported ORR of 42-96% and VGPR or better of 48-61%, better results compared to those reported with lenalidomide. A paroxysmal increase of NT-proBNP has also been reported in 89% of the patients,
in the absence of any alteration of cardiac function. Hematological response to pomalidomide is rapidly achieved, in a median time of one to two months, in the absence of adverse toxicity profile.\textsuperscript{62,63}

\textbf{ANTI-FIBRIL THERAPIES}

After first encouraging results with NEOD001, a murine antibody targeting misfolded light chains, the phase III study was closed due to futility.\textsuperscript{65} Other monoclonal antibodies targeting light chains and serum amyloid protein (SAP) are under investigations. It has been demonstrated that doxycycline has an anti-fibril activity. First interesting results have been published in association with anti-plasma cell therapy.\textsuperscript{66,67} A phase II trial on 25 patients reported a safe use

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
\textbf{Criterion} & \textbf{Definition} \\
\hline
Stringent CR\textsuperscript{1}/ MRD negative \textsuperscript{(38)} & aCR + no evidence of clonal plasma cells in BM by multiparametric flow cytometry\textsuperscript{2} \\
\hline
aCR & No evidence of involved M component by serum and urine IFE; FCL ratio normal \\
\hline
Perspective Stringent dFLV response \textsuperscript{(37)} & If initial dFLC ≥ 20 mg/L : dFLC < 10 mg/L  \\
& iFLC < 20 mg/L or dFLC < 10 mg/L \\
\hline
VGPR & If initial dFLC ≥ 50 mg/L: dFLC < 40 mg/L \\
\hline
PR & If initial dFLC ≥ 50 mg/L: 50% reduction \\
\hline
No response & Less than PR \\
\hline
Low dFLC PR & If initial dFLC 20 – 50 mg/L: dFLC < 10 mg/L \\
\hline
Progression / relapse \textsuperscript{(20)} & From CR, any detectable monoclonal protein or abnormal FLC ratio (iFLC must double)  \\
& From PR or stable response, 50% increase in serum M protein to > 0.5 g/dL  \\
& or 50% increase in urine M protein to > 200 mg/day (visible peak) or dFLC increase of 50% to > 100 mg/L \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Haematologic response criteria in AL.}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{1}Monoclonality established by immunohistochemistry, morphology and flow cytometry.  \\
\textsuperscript{2}Currently reported sensitivity: 1 in $1 \times 10^4$ to $2 \times 10^5$.  

\textbf{RECOMMENDATIONS FOR RELAPSING-REFRACTORY PATIENTS}

If available, treatment within the context of a clinical trial should be proposed (level 2B, grade C).

Daratumumab should be offered to all patient not previously exposed to anti-CD38 therapy.

Bortezomib-based regimen should be considered in patients not exposed to this drug and with no contraindication (level 2A, grade A).

Lenalidomide and pomalidomide are options in patients exposed to bortezomib (level 2B, grade B).

Lenalidomide should not be used for patients with nephrotic syndrome if other options are available. It should be used at a maximum dose of 15 mg (level 2B, grade C).
and a lower 1-year mortality compared to historical data. A retrospective study also reported promising results with a higher one year OS in patients treated with bortezombased regimens plus doxycycline comparing to patients treated without doxycycline. A phase II-III trial is ongoing in first line treatment.

### TABLE 7. Regimen in AL amyloidosis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **MD** (Palladini, 2004) | Melphalan 0.22 mg/kg, days 1-4  
Dexamethasone 40 mg, days 1-4  
28-day cycles, maximum 9 cycles in responders or until 2 cycles beyond maximal response, PD or toxicity |
| **VCD** (Mikhael, 2012) | Bortezomib 1.3 mg/m², days 1,8,15,22  
Cyclophosphamide 300 mg/m², days 1,8,15  
Dexamethasone 40 mg, days 1,8,15,22  
28-day cycles |
| **BMD** (Kastritis, 2020) | Bortezomib 1.3 mg/m² SC: cycle 1 and 2 on days 1, 4, 8, 11 a 28-day cycle and for cycles 3–8 on days 1, 8, 15, and 22 of a 35-day cycle.  
Melphalan 0.22 mg/kg, days 1-4  
Dexamethasone 40 mg, days 1-4  
Maximum 8 cycles in responders or until 2 cycles beyond maximal response, PD or toxicity or achievement of a complete hematologic response (CR) after cycle 6, or a partial hematologic response (PR) and organ response after cycle 6 |
| Daratumumab monotherapy in relapse/refractory pts (Roussel, 2020) | Daratumumab 16mg/kg IV, every week for cycles 1 and 2 and every 2 weeks for cycles 3 through 6  
28-day cycles |
| Daratumumab monotherapy in relapse/refractory pts (Sanchorawala, 2020) | Daratumumab 16mg/kg IV, every week for cycles 1 and 2 and every 2 weeks for cycles 3 through 6 and every 4 weeks thereafter until progression or unacceptable toxicity, for up to 24 months  
28-day cycles |
| Lenalidomide-dexamethasone (Kastritis, 2018) | Lenalidomide: 5–15mg/day on days 1–21  
Adjustment for renal function: reduce to less < 15 mg if eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²  
Dexamethasone 24mg weekly  
28-day cycles |
| **Dara-CyBorD** (Palladini, 2020) | DARA SC weekly in cycles 1 to 2, every 2 weeks in cycles 3 to 6, and every 4 weeks thereafter as monotherapy for a maximum of 2 years  
Cyclophosphamide 300 mg/m² orally or IV days 1,8,15,22 for up to 6 cycles  
Bortezomib 1.3 mg/m² SC for up to 6 cycles  
Dexamethasone 40 mg days 1, 8, 15, and 22 (20 mg if > 70 years, BMI ≤18.5 kg/m², hypervolemia including heart failure) poorly controlled diabetes mellitus) for up to 6 cycles  
28 days cycles |

Bortezomib is better tolerated in weekly administration and a maximum of two cycles of bi-weekly administration should be given. In combination with cyclophosphamide, the CyBorDex regimen with weekly bortezomib 1.3 mg/m² SC administration is the most frequently used. In frail patients or if advanced cardiac disease, start the treatment with lower dexamethasone and bortezomib doses and increase according answer and tolerance. In advanced cardiac disease, treatment should be given on intensive care monitoring.
RESPONSE EVALUATION

Both hematologic and organ criteria for response are summarised in Table 6.22,30 Hematological response should be assessed every cycle, by measuring FLC and organ response at least every two cycles. However, organ responses are usually delayed and only observed months after hematological response is obtained. Normalisation of the serum FLC ratio in response to therapy is a strong predictor of survival, regardless of the therapeutic strategy applied.69,70 To have a chance to achieve organ response in a small fraction of patients, a dFLC decrease below 40 mg/l should be the minimal goal to reach (VGPR).30 The prognostic value of dFLC response is superior compared to the M-protein response.71 Different studies reported the interest to obtain a stringent dFLC response defined as a dFLC <10 mg/L which translate in a significant improvement of OS.31,72 Patients with a low dFLC <50 mg/L have a better prognosis and more frequently renal kidney involvement. Similarly, a reduction of NT-proBNP >30% and >300 ng/l (or 50 ng/l for BNP) is associated with a significant better OS.73

SUPPORTIVE ORGAN CARE

In the ASCT setting, particular attention should be given during all the process. Stem cell collection and ASCT have to be performed under intense surveillance and in specialised centres. In patients with cardiac involvement, arrhythmia prophylaxis with amiodarone should be discussed before stem collection and ASCT. During the aplasia period, physician should avoid G-CSF use if there is a cardiac and/or renal involvement as there is a high risk of fluid retention. Therefore, special attention should be taken with fluid administration. As there is an increased risk of bleeding, the cut-off for platelet transfusion should be increase at 20,000/mm³ and regularly blood test in the stool should be performed.

Early mortality is related to organ involvement, particularly to advanced stage cardiac dysfunction. As organ responses are often delayed, supportive measures remain critical in the management of AL amyloidosis. For patients with cardiac involvement, management of fluid overload using loop diuretics and/or spironolactone is the key of treatment.4,74 Special attention should be paid in the dosage of these drugs in patients with autonomic neuropathy as they are exposed to an increased risk of hypotension or in patients with renal amyloidosis. Close monitoring of renal function and serum ions has to be done at the initiation of therapy. Cardiac arrhythmias are frequent in patients with advanced stages of the disease.75 Digoxin is contra-indicated for atrial fibrillation, as it has been described to bind to amyloid deposits and is associated increased cardiac arrhythmias. Amiodarone is the drug of choice in this setting. In patients for whom the electrophysiological study reveals a high risk of arrhythmia, prophylactic amiodarone 200 mg should be considered. ACE inhibitors should be avoided and are usually poorly tolerated, particularly in patients with hypotension, cardiac or autonomic involvement.76 Beta-blockers and calcium-channel blockers are contraindicated. In patients with recurrent cardiogenic syncope, or complex ventricular arrhythmias, pacemakers and defibrillators could be discussed even if no benefice in survival has been reported. In patients with renal amyloidosis, diuretic, salt, and fluid restriction is the backbone of the treatment.77 However, a close monitoring of renal function and blood pressure is required after starting diuretics. In subjects with nephrotic syndrome, prophylactic anticoagulation should be considered with caution and well balanced with the higher risk of

RECOMMENDATIONS

Symptomatic cardiac failure should be managed with salt depletion, looss diuretics and/or spironolactone (level 3, grade C).

In cases of cardiac involvement, ACE inhibitors, beta-blockers and calcium-channel blockers should be avoided, particularly in patients with dysautonomia, impaired renal function and hypotension (level 3, grade C).

Arrhythmia can be prevented by prophylactic amiodarone (level 3, grade C). Digoxin is contraindicated (level 3, grade C).

Nephrotic syndrome should be managed with diuretics, fluid and salt restriction (level 4, grade C).

ACE inhibitors should be restricted to patients with no significant cardiac involvement or dysautonomia (level 4, grade C).
bleeding. There is no data that showed an interest to prescribe ACE inhibitors to minimise proteinuria in AL amyloidosis. If it is used, it should be started with caution and only if there is no cardiac or autonomic involvement. Hypercholesterolemia should be corrected. Even if there is no renal insufficiency at diagnosis, worsening of the clearance of creatinine could be observed during treatment, because of nephrotoxic drugs, iodine contrast exposure or depletion. Orthostatic hypotension is seen in about 15% of patients. It may be improved by wearing support stockings. Miodocrine, an alpha-adrenergic agonist, is the most effective drug for orthostatic hypotension in patients with amyloidosis but can cause supine hypertension and tachycardia. The initial dose is 2.5 md t.i.d and could be increased to a maximum dosage of 10 mg t.i.d.

CONCLUSION
AL amyloidosis is a rare and probably underdiagnosed disease. Major advances have been made in diagnosis, supportive care and treatments. However, patients with advanced stage III B diseases have still a very limited OS and major efforts have still to been made to detect earlier that serious condition. Information about when to suspect amyloidosis should be given to cardiologists, nephrologists, neurologists, internists, general practitioners and screening should be done in patients with MGUS and SMM annually. Achievement of a rapid and deep response is crucial to improve the prognostic of these patients. After completion of treatment, patients should be closely monitored and therapy should be resumed as soon as any reappearance or evolution of the biological clone is observed, particularly in patients with cardiac involvement or severe organ disease at diagnosis. Patients should always be treated in the context of a clinical trial, if available, and in reference centres. Most of the effective drugs are not registered in this indication and should be prescribed in the context of MM therapy, when BM-PC are ≥10%, otherwise treatment should be request as samples or in a medical need program. Anti-CD38 therapy is highly effective and will change the prognosis of this disease. Daratumumab should be offered to all patients not exposed to anti-CD38 antibodies. Based on the Andromeda study, it will move to front-line therapy as soon as available.
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